again a special interest group, well financed, could turn
the tide rather than the total representation of this
state, and I think there is a great fear here, and I think
this amendment should be rejected.

THE CHAIRMAN: Does any other Delegate desire to speak in favor of the amendment?

Delegate Hanson.

DELEGATE HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I rarely find myself in a position to disagree with my colleague from Montgomery County, Mr. Scanlan. I share his lack of enthusiasm for the legislative initiative. I was opposed to the legislative initiative and I remain opposed to the legislative initiative.

I do not think that as a practical matter it
has fulfilled the promises that were made for it when it
was developed. I am a sponsor of this amendment, and I
must say I am a sponsor of it with some degree of trepidation
because of my lack of enthusiasm for initiatives generally.

The reason I sponsor it, however, is for what I believe is a very practical reason in the development of constitutional government. It is quite true that a

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20